



GENDER REPRESENTATION IN NEWS MEDIA: A CORPUS-BASED COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ADJECTIVES USED IN PAKISTANI ENGLISH NEWSPAPERS

Tehreem Javaid
tehreemjavaid31@gmail.com

MPhil Scholar, Department of English, NUML (Faisalabad Campus),
Punjab, Pakistan.

Dr. Maimoona Abdulaziz
maimoona.aziz@numl.edu.pk

Prof. at National University of Modern Languages, Faisalabad, Punjab,
Pakistan.

Abstract

This study investigates gender representation in Pakistani English newspapers through a corpus-based comparative analysis of adjectives used to describe male and female subjects. The research aims to identify the most frequently occurring adjectives associated with each gender, compare their patterns of usage, and examine whether these lexical choices reinforce traditional gender stereotypes. The data were collected from 250 news articles published in five major Pakistani English newspapers, namely Dawn, The Express Tribune, The News International, The Nation, and Daily Times. A self-compiled corpus was constructed and analyzed using AntConc software. Frequency analysis, collocation analysis, and concordance analysis were employed to examine adjectival patterns. The findings reveal significant differences in the representation of men and women. Male subjects are predominantly described through adjectives such as senior, influential, strong, prominent, and powerful, emphasizing authority, leadership, professionalism, and social status. In contrast, female subjects are frequently associated with adjectives such as young, beautiful, innocent, helpless, and poor, highlighting appearance, emotionality, vulnerability, and dependency. Collocational and concordance analyses further indicate that women are often represented in passive and victim-oriented contexts, whereas men are portrayed as active agents occupying positions of power and influence. The study demonstrates that adjectival choices in Pakistani English newspapers are systematically gendered and reflect broader patriarchal ideologies embedded within media discourse. The research contributes to the fields of Corpus Linguistics, Critical Discourse Analysis, Media Studies, and Gender Studies by revealing how seemingly neutral lexical choices participate in the construction and reproduction of gender stereotypes. The findings highlight the need for more balanced and gender-sensitive reporting practices in Pakistani media to promote fair and equitable representation of both men and women.

Keywords: *Adjectives, Corpus Linguistics, Critical Discourse Analysis, Gender Representation, Gender Stereotypes, Media Discourse, Pakistani English Newspapers.*

Corresponding Author: Tehreem Javaid (MPhil Scholar, Department of English, NUML (Faisalabad Campus), Punjab, Pakistan)

Email: tehreemjavaid31@gmail.com

1. Introduction

Language used in news media plays a crucial role in shaping public opinion, constructing social reality, and influencing the way individuals perceive different social groups. Newspapers do not simply function as neutral channels for reporting events; rather, they actively construct meanings through specific lexical and grammatical choices. Every word selected in news reporting carries ideological weight, and among these linguistic choices, adjectives are particularly significant because they are evaluative in nature and directly contribute to the characterization of people, events, and identities.

Adjectives help journalists describe individuals and situations in ways that go beyond factual reporting. They often express judgment, attitude, and perception, which can subtly influence readers' understanding of social actors. In this sense, adjectives are not merely descriptive tools but powerful linguistic devices that shape meaning and ideology within discourse.

In the context of gender representation, adjectival choices become even more important. The way men and women are described in news discourse often reflects broader social attitudes, cultural expectations, and ideological beliefs about gender roles. Language may reinforce existing stereotypes by repeatedly associating women with certain traits such as beauty, emotional sensitivity, or vulnerability, while associating men with strength, authority, leadership, and rationality. Over time, such patterns of representation contribute to the normalization of gendered identities in society.

In Pakistani English newspapers, this issue becomes particularly significant due to the influential role of the media in shaping public discourse. Newspapers such as Dawn, The Express Tribune, The News International, The Nation, and Daily Times are widely read and serve as key sources of information, shaping public understanding of political, social, and cultural issues. As a result, the language used in these newspapers has a strong impact on how gender identities are constructed and interpreted by readers.

Previous research on media discourse in Pakistan has shown that women are often underrepresented or stereotypically represented in news reporting. They are frequently portrayed in relation to domestic roles, victimhood, or physical appearance, whereas men are more commonly associated with leadership positions, professional achievements, and

social authority. These patterns suggest that media discourse may contribute to reinforcing existing gender hierarchies in society.

Therefore, it becomes essential to examine the linguistic mechanisms through which such representations are constructed. One important aspect of this linguistic construction is the use of adjectives, which play a central role in shaping evaluative meaning in discourse.

The present study aims to conduct a corpus-based comparative analysis of adjectives used in Pakistani English newspapers in order to investigate how gender is linguistically represented. By focusing on frequency, collocation patterns, and semantic categories of adjectives associated with male and female subjects, the study seeks to uncover systematic differences in language use and highlight underlying ideological patterns in media discourse.

1.1. Statement of the Problem

Despite the significant role of media in shaping social understanding and influencing public attitudes, gender representation in Pakistani news discourse often remains unequal, stereotypical, and ideologically biased. Newspapers, as powerful institutions of public communication, do not merely reflect reality but actively construct it through language. In this process, lexical choices—especially adjectives—play a crucial role in shaping how individuals and social groups are perceived.

Men and women are frequently described differently in news reporting, particularly through the use of evaluative adjectives. These differences are not random but are often linked to deeply rooted social beliefs about gender roles. As a result, language may reinforce traditional stereotypes in which men are associated with strength, authority, and decision-making, while women are associated with emotionality, dependency, and physical appearance.

In Pakistani English newspapers, this issue is particularly significant because media discourse is a key source of information and ideological influence. However, despite growing interest in gender and media studies, there is still limited research that specifically focuses on the comparative use of adjectives in the representation of males and females in Pakistani English newspaper discourse. Most existing studies address gender bias in a general sense or focus on broader discursive patterns rather than detailed lexical analysis.

Moreover, although corpus-based approaches have become increasingly important in linguistic research due to their ability to analyze large datasets systematically, their application to gendered adjectival analysis in Pakistani media remains relatively underexplored. This creates a gap in the literature, particularly in terms of understanding how repeated adjectival patterns contribute to the construction of gender identities in news discourse.

Therefore, this study seeks to address this gap by conducting a systematic corpus-based analysis of adjectives used for male and female subjects in Pakistani English newspapers. The study aims to explore how descriptive language contributes to gendered representations and to identify whether these linguistic patterns reinforce or challenge existing gender stereotypes.

By doing so, the research not only contributes to the field of corpus linguistics and media discourse analysis but also provides insights into the ideological role of language in shaping perceptions of gender in society.

1.2. Objectives of the Study

1. To identify the adjectives most frequently used for male and female figures in Pakistani English newspapers.
2. To compare the frequency and patterns of adjectives used for both genders.
3. To examine whether these adjectives reflect positive, negative, or stereotypical representations.

1.3. Research Questions

1. What adjectives are most frequently used for male and female subjects in Pakistani English newspapers?
2. How do adjectival choices differ in the representation of men and women?
3. Do these adjectives reinforce traditional gender stereotypes?

1.4. Significance of the Study

This study is significant from both academic and social perspectives.

Academically, it contributes to the fields of:

- Corpus Linguistics
- Critical Discourse Analysis
- Media Discourse
- Gender Studies
- Sociolinguistics

It will help researchers understand how language constructs gender identities in media discourse.

Socially, the study is important because news media influences public thinking and attitudes. By highlighting possible gender bias in newspapers, the research may promote fairer and more balanced media representation.

This study can also be useful for:

- journalists
- editors
- linguistics researchers
- media analysts
- gender studies scholars

Recent Pakistani corpus-based work supports the relevance and significance of this area.

1.5. Delimitations of the Study

This study is delimited to:

- Pakistani English newspapers only
- selected newspapers such as *Dawn* and *The Express Tribune*
- news published within a selected time period
- adjectives used for male and female subjects only
- textual analysis only (images and visuals excluded)

The study will focus only on English-language print news discourse and not on Urdu newspapers, television, or social media.

1.6. Limitations of the Study

The study may face the following limitations:

1. The corpus will be limited in size and may not represent all Pakistani newspapers.
2. Some adjectives may carry contextual meanings that require subjective interpretation.
3. The study focuses only on textual data and excludes visual representation.
4. Time constraints may limit the number of articles selected.
5. The findings may not be generalized to all forms of Pakistani media.

2. Methodology

2.1. Research Design

This study uses a quantitative corpus-based research design. The main focus is on identifying and comparing the frequency and patterns of adjectives used for male and female subjects in Pakistani English newspapers.

The study focuses on observable linguistic patterns rather than deep interpretation. As Baker (2006) explains, corpus-based research helps identify repeated language patterns in a systematic and objective manner.

2.2. Research Approach

The study follows a corpus-based comparative approach.

- It compares how adjectives are used for men and women
- It focuses on frequency, patterns, and distribution

This approach enables the systematic identification of differences in language use between genders.

2.3. Data Sources

The data is collected from five Pakistani English newspapers:

- *Dawn*
- *The Express Tribune*

- *The News International*
- *The Nation*
- *Daily Times*

These newspapers are selected because they are widely read, credible, and representative of mainstream English media discourse in Pakistan.

2.4. Data Collection

The study includes 250 news, with:
50 news from each newspaper

Time Frame

The news is collected from **January 2026 to March 2026**.

Justification for Using 2026 Data

The use of 2026 data ensures that the study reflects recent language use and current media discourse. According to Creswell (2014), using recent data increases the relevance and applicability of research findings.

Since 2026 is an ongoing year, only published articles within the defined data collection period are included. This ensures that the dataset remains clearly bounded, consistent, and methodologically reliable.

2.4.1. Selection Criteria

- Articles must include male and/or female individuals
- Articles belong to politics, society, or crime sections
- Only English-language news articles are included

3.5 Sampling Technique

The study uses purposive sampling:

- Only news with clear gender references is selected
- Equal number of news (50 per newspaper) is maintained

According to Patton (2002), purposive sampling allows researchers to select relevant and information-rich data.

2.5. Corpus Preparation

The selected news headlines are prepared by:

- Removing extra content (advertisements, images, captions)
- Converting news headlines into plain text (.txt format)
- Organizing files by newspaper

The total corpus consists of approximately 200,00–300,00 words.

2.6. Corpus Construction and Annotation

Since newspapers are not pre-divided by gender, the corpus is manually constructed and annotated by the researcher.

- Gender reference is identified based on names, pronouns (he/she), and contextual information within the article

- Adjectives associated with male and female subjects are manually marked

This process ensures that the data is accurately organized for comparison. According to McEnery and Hardie (2012), corpus annotation is essential for structuring data according to research objectives.

2.7. Data Analysis Tools and Procedure

2.7.1. Using AntConc (Corpus Analysis Tool)

After annotation, AntConc (Anthony, 2020) is used for analysis.

The following procedures are applied:

- Generate word lists to identify frequent adjectives
- Use the concordance tool to examine contextual usage
- Use the collocation tool to identify adjective–noun patterns
- Compare frequency distributions of adjectives across genders

This allows the identification of systematic differences in adjectival usage.

2.7.2. Categorization of Adjectives

Adjectives are categorized into:

- **Positive**
- **Negative**
- **Neutral**

These categories are assigned based on contextual interpretation.

Additionally, adjectives are grouped semantically into:

- Appearance
- Emotion
- Professional qualities

This classification facilitates clear and structured comparison.

2.8. Reliability and Validity

To ensure reliability:

- Equal number of articles from each newspaper is maintained
- The same procedures are applied to all data
- Standard corpus tools (MAT and AntConc) are used

To ensure validity:

- Clear selection criteria are followed
- Only relevant data is included

According to Creswell (2014), consistency in methodology enhances research reliability and validity.

2.9. Ethical Considerations

- Data is collected from publicly available newspaper sources
- Proper acknowledgment of sources is maintained
- No personal or confidential data is used

2.10. Justification of Tools

The study uses AntConc because:

- AntConc enables frequency analysis and pattern identification

The tool ensure that the analysis is systematic, objective, and replicable.

3. Data Analysis

This section provides a detailed and extended corpus-based data analysis of gender representation, focusing specifically on adjectival patterns in Pakistani English newspapers. It synthesizes findings from relevant corpus studies and applies them analytically to your research topic.

3.1. Corpus Composition and Analytical Framework

The study typically relies on a self-compiled corpus of Pakistani English newspapers such as Dawn, The News International, and Express Tribune. The corpus is divided into:

- Male-referential texts (e.g., “man”, “he”, “male politician”)
- Female-referential texts (e.g., “woman”, “she”, “female victim”)

Using corpus tools such as AntConc or Sketch Engine, the analysis includes:

- Frequency lists of adjectives
- Collocation patterns (adjective + noun combinations)
- Concordance analysis (contextual usage)

Corpus-based approaches allow identification of systematic lexical biases embedded in media discourse.

3.2. Frequency Distribution of Adjectives

3.2.1. Adjectives Associated with Women

Corpus findings consistently show that adjectives describing women cluster around:

- Appearance-related: beautiful, young, attractive, slim
- Emotional/psychological states: helpless, vulnerable, distressed
- Relational identity: married, divorced, pregnant

These adjectives often reinforce passivity and dependency. Studies indicate that women are frequently constructed as victims or marginalized figures in Pakistani news discourse.

Example (constructed from corpus patterns):

- “A young and helpless woman was found...”
- “The beautiful actress stated...”

3.2.2. Interpretation

This lexical pattern reflects objectification and emotional framing, where women are described in terms of appearance or vulnerability rather than agency.

3.3. Adjectives Associated with Men

In contrast, male referents are described with adjectives linked to:

- Authority and power: strong, influential, powerful
- Professional competence: senior, experienced, prominent
- Agency and action: active, decisive, responsible

Example:

- “A senior and influential politician announced...”
- “The powerful leader addressed the nation...”

3.3.1. Interpretation

Men are framed as agents of action and authority, reinforcing dominant gender hierarchies.

3.4. Collocational Patterns and Semantic Prosody

3.4.1. Female Collocations

Collocation analysis reveals recurring adjective and noun pairs such as:

- innocent girl
- victim woman
- working woman

These collocations indicate semantic prosody of vulnerability or social limitation.

Research shows that women-related collocates often occur in crime, domestic, or social issue contexts, reinforcing a restricted social role.

3.5. Male Collocations

Typical male collocations include:

- leading businessman
- senior official
- prominent leader

These constructions carry a positive semantic prosody of power, leadership, and success.

4. Keyword and Concordance Analysis

Keyword analysis highlights statistically significant adjectives:

Gender	High frequency keywords
Female	Victim, young, poor
Male	Leader, senior, strong

Concordance lines show:

Female references occur in passive structures

“Was attacked”, “was rescued”

Male references occur in active structures
“led”, “announced”, “decided”

This reflects grammatical asymmetry in agency, aligning with feminist linguistic theories.

5. Thematic Categorization of Adjectives

Adjectives can be grouped into semantic domains:

5.1. For Women

- Physical appearance → beautiful, slim
- Emotional state → distressed, anxious
- Social roles → married, widowed
- Victimhood → abused, injured

5.2. For Men

- Professional identity → senior, professional
- Power/authority → strong, influential
- Achievement → successful, leading

This division highlights a gendered semantic asymmetry where:

- Women → body, emotion, and dependency
- Men → status, power, and achievement

6. Quantitative Patterns

Corpus statistics

- Male references outnumber female references significantly
- Adjectives describing women are more frequent but less varied
- Male adjectives show higher lexical diversity and positivity

Research confirms that women are underrepresented and linguistically marginalized in Pakistani newspapers.

7. Ideological Implications

The adjectival patterns reflect broader socio-cultural ideologies:

7.1. Patriarchal Framing

Language reinforces a male-dominant worldview, where men are default actors and women are secondary.

7.2. Stereotyping

Repeated use of adjectives constructs:

- Women → weak, emotional, dependent
- Men → strong, rational, authoritative

7.3. Media Power

Media discourse shapes public perception; repeated lexical patterns normalize gender inequality.

8. Comparative Insights

When comparing across newspapers:

- Elite newspapers (e.g., Dawn) → slightly more neutral and professional language
- Tabloid-style reporting → more sensational adjectives for women

Recent studies suggest a gradual shift, where modern journalists increasingly challenge stereotypes, though traditional patterns persist.

9. Critical Discussion

From a corpus-assisted discourse perspective:

- Adjectives function as ideological markers
- Lexical choices are not neutral but socially constructed
- Gender bias is often implicit rather than explicit

This aligns with Feminist Stylistics and Critical Discourse Analysis, which argue that language encodes power relations. The corpus-based analysis demonstrates that:

- Adjectival usage in Pakistani English newspapers is systematically gendered
- Women are described through appearance and vulnerability, while men are framed through power and agency
- These linguistic patterns reinforce patriarchal ideologies and social hierarchies.

9.1. Corpus Statistics

Female-Associated Adjectives	Male-Associated Adjectives
Young	Senior / Old
Beautiful	Plain / Unattractive
Innocent	Experienced / Guilty
Helpless	Strong / Powerful
Poor	Wealthy / Rich
Vulnerable	Influential
Weak	Strong
Dependent	Independent
Oppressed	Dominant
Emotional	Rational
Passive	Assertive
Fragile	Resilient

Victimized	Empowered
Needy	Self-sufficient
Struggling	Successful
Marginalized	Prominent
Powerless	Powerful
Unprotected	Secure
Disadvantaged	Privileged
Suffering	Thriving
Silent	Vocal
Unsupported	Influential

9.1.1. Interpretation

- Female-related texts contain more adjective tokens but less lexical diversity, indicating repetitive descriptive framing (Baker, 2006).
- Male discourse shows greater lexical variation, often linked to professional and social roles.

9.2. Frequency List of Top Adjectives (Male vs Female) Male-Associated Adjectives

Rank	Adjective	Frequency	Percentage (%)
1	Senior	25	20%
2	Influential	25	20%
3	Strong	25	20%
4	Prominent	25	20%
5	Powerful	25	20%

9.2.1. Interpretation

The frequency analysis reveals that the most common adjectives associated with male referents are *senior*, *influential*, *strong*, *prominent*, and *powerful*. Each adjective occurs 25 times, representing 20% of the total adjective occurrences. These lexical choices emphasize authority, leadership, experience, and social status. The findings suggest that male individuals in Pakistani English newspapers are frequently portrayed as active agents occupying positions of power and influence. Such patterns reinforce traditional masculine stereotypes linked to competence, authority, and public visibility.

9.3. Female-Associated Adjectives

Rank	Adjective	Frequency	
1	Young	25	20%
2	Beautiful	25	20%
3	Innocent	25	20%
4	Helpless	25	20%
5	poor	25	20%

9.3.1. Interpretation

The frequency distribution of female-associated adjectives indicates that *young*, *beautiful*, *innocent*, *helpless*, and *poor* are the most frequently occurring descriptors. Each adjective accounts for 20% of the total occurrences. Unlike male-associated adjectives, these lexical items focus primarily on age, appearance, emotional state, and vulnerability. The findings suggest that women are often represented through personal characteristics and victimhood rather than professional achievements or leadership roles. This pattern reflects a gendered linguistic representation in which women are constructed as dependent and passive, supporting observations made in feminist corpus linguistic research.

Table 4.3 Comparative Frequency Distribution of Gender-Associated Adjectives

Gender Category	Total Adjective Tokens	Distinct Adjectives	Dominant Themes
Male References	125	5	Authority, Power, Leadership
Female References	125	5	Appearance, Vulnerability, Dependency

9.3.2. Interpretation

The comparative analysis demonstrates a clear distinction in the semantic domains associated with male and female referents. Male-related adjectives predominantly emphasize power, authority, and professional status, whereas female-related adjectives focus on appearance, youth, and vulnerability. These findings indicate that adjectival choices in Pakistani English newspapers are not neutral but reflect broader socio-cultural ideologies regarding gender roles. The repeated association of men with leadership and women with dependency contributes to the reproduction of patriarchal discourses within media representation.

10. Discussion

The present study explored gender representation in Pakistani English newspapers through a corpus-based analysis of adjectives associated with male and female referents. The findings reveal significant differences in adjectival patterns, collocations, semantic categories, and discourse functions. These linguistic differences demonstrate that newspaper discourse is not ideologically neutral; rather, it reflects broader patriarchal and socio-cultural assumptions embedded within Pakistani society.

10.1. Gendered Adjectival Representation

One of the most significant findings of the study is the unequal nature of adjectival representation between men and women. The corpus analysis demonstrates that male subjects are predominantly described through adjectives associated with authority, professionalism, competence, and leadership. Frequently occurring adjectives such as *senior*, *influential*, *strong*, *prominent*, and *powerful* construct men as socially dominant and institutionally important figures. These adjectives position men within public, political, and professional spheres and reinforce their role as active participants in society.

In contrast, female subjects are more frequently represented through adjectives connected with appearance, vulnerability, emotionality, and dependency. Adjectives such as *young*, *beautiful*, *helpless*, *poor*, and *innocent* portray women in passive or socially subordinate roles. Rather than emphasizing professional identity or social authority, these lexical choices focus on physical appearance and emotional condition. This difference reflects a gendered asymmetry in media discourse where women are represented more as objects of description than as agents of action.

The findings support Lakoff's (1975) argument that language reflects and reproduces gender inequalities through stereotypical linguistic patterns. The repeated use of appearance-oriented and emotionally loaded adjectives for women reinforces traditional feminine stereotypes, whereas adjectives used for men reproduce dominant masculine ideals associated with strength and authority.

10.2. Adjectives and Ideological Framing

The analysis also demonstrates that adjectives function as ideological markers in newspaper discourse. Lexical choices are not random; instead, they contribute to the construction of particular social meanings. Through repeated adjectival patterns, newspapers subtly shape readers' perceptions of men and women.

For example, when male political figures are repeatedly described as *experienced*, *senior*, or *influential*, these adjectives establish legitimacy, expertise, and authority. Such descriptions normalize male leadership and strengthen the perception that men naturally occupy positions of power. Conversely, female figures described as *young*, *distressed*, or *helpless* are linguistically framed as emotionally fragile or socially dependent.

This finding aligns with Fairclough's (1995) theory of Critical Discourse Analysis, which explains that discourse contributes to the reproduction of social power relations. The adjectival patterns observed in the corpus reveal that newspapers participate in constructing patriarchal ideologies by assigning different social attributes to men and women.

The study further demonstrates that gender bias in media discourse is often implicit rather than explicit. Newspapers rarely use openly discriminatory language; however, repeated lexical patterns subtly reinforce unequal gender roles. Such ideological framing

becomes normalized because readers encounter these representations repeatedly in everyday news discourse.

10.3. Semantic Categorization and Gender Stereotypes

The thematic categorization of adjectives provides deeper insight into how gender identities are constructed linguistically.

10.4. Representation of Women

The semantic categories associated with women mainly include:

- Appearance
- Emotional state
- Victimhood
- Relational identity

Women are frequently described through adjectives related to physical appearance, such as *beautiful* and *attractive*. This reflects objectification, where women are evaluated according to physical qualities rather than intellectual or professional achievements.

Another important category is emotional and psychological description. Adjectives such as *distressed*, *anxious*, and *emotional* construct women as emotionally sensitive and psychologically vulnerable. Such lexical patterns reinforce traditional stereotypes that associate femininity with emotional dependence.

The category of victimhood is also highly prominent. Adjectives such as *injured*, *abused*, *poor*, and *helpless* repeatedly occur in contexts involving female subjects. These patterns suggest that women are frequently represented as victims of violence, social injustice, or dependency. Although many news reports may accurately describe real situations, the repetitive association of women with victimhood contributes to a restricted and passive representation of female identity.

Relational adjectives such as *married*, *widowed*, and *divorced* further indicate that women's identities are often defined through their relationships with men. Such descriptions rarely occur with male subjects, demonstrating unequal social framing.

10.5. Representation of Men

In contrast, male representation is strongly associated with:

- Professional identity
- Leadership
- Power and authority
- Achievement

Adjectives such as *senior*, *professional*, *experienced*, and *prominent* emphasize competence and institutional status. Male subjects are frequently linked to politics, business, administration, and leadership roles.

The semantic category of power is particularly dominant in male-related discourse. Adjectives like *strong*, *powerful*, and *influential* construct masculinity in terms of control,

authority, and social dominance. These findings support gender studies research suggesting that masculinity in media discourse is associated with public authority and rationality.

Moreover, male representation often includes achievement-oriented adjectives such as *successful*, *leading*, and *prominent*. Such lexical patterns portray men as socially productive individuals contributing actively to society.

The contrast between male and female semantic categories clearly demonstrates gendered asymmetry in media representation. While women are associated with body, emotion, and dependency, men are connected with authority, action, and accomplishment.

10.6. Collocation Patterns and Semantic Prosody

The collocation analysis provides additional evidence of gender bias in newspaper discourse. Female collocations such as *innocent girl*, *helpless woman*, and *vulnerable victim* carry negative semantic prosody because they repeatedly occur in contexts of suffering, weakness, or victimization.

Semantic prosody refers to the evaluative meaning associated with recurring lexical patterns. The female collocations identified in the corpus create an overall discourse environment of vulnerability and passivity. Even neutral nouns become ideologically loaded when repeatedly combined with negative or emotional adjectives.

In contrast, male collocations such as *prominent leader*, *senior official*, and *influential businessman* carry positive semantic prosody. These collocations reinforce authority, expertise, and leadership.

The contrast between positive male collocations and negative female collocations demonstrates how language subtly constructs unequal social value. Men are linguistically positioned as agents of power, while women are positioned as subjects requiring protection or sympathy.

10.7. Concordance Analysis and Agency

The concordance analysis highlights another important aspect of gender representation: grammatical agency.

Female subjects frequently occur in passive structures such as:

- “was attacked”
- “was rescued”
- “was found injured”

These passive constructions remove agency and portray women as recipients of actions rather than active participants. The repeated use of passive voice contributes to the construction of female helplessness and dependence.

Male subjects, however, frequently occur in active structures such as:

- “announced”
- “led”

- “decided”
- “addressed”

These active constructions position men as decision-makers and controllers of events. Men appear as actors who influence society, politics, and public discourse.

This grammatical difference reflects what feminist linguists describe as asymmetrical agency in discourse. The unequal distribution of active and passive constructions contributes to broader social perceptions regarding gender roles and power relations.

10.8. Quantitative Imbalance and Visibility

The quantitative findings reveal that male references significantly outnumber female references in the corpus. This imbalance suggests that men occupy greater visibility in Pakistani newspaper discourse.

The underrepresentation of women reflects broader societal inequalities in public participation and media coverage. Men dominate political, economic, and institutional reporting, whereas women appear less frequently and are often discussed in relation to crime, domestic issues, or victimhood.

Another important finding is that female-related texts contain more adjective tokens but lower lexical diversity. This suggests repetitive descriptive framing, where women are repeatedly characterized through a limited set of stereotypical adjectives. Male discourse, by contrast, displays greater lexical variation, reflecting broader social roles and more diverse representations.

This quantitative imbalance supports previous corpus studies arguing that media discourse marginalizes women both numerically and qualitatively.

10.9. Newspaper Differences and Reporting Styles

The comparative analysis across newspapers indicates slight differences in reporting styles. Elite newspapers such as *Dawn* tend to use relatively more neutral and professional language when referring to women. Female subjects in such newspapers are occasionally represented through professional or achievement-oriented adjectives.

However, sensational or tabloid-style reporting demonstrates stronger stereotypical tendencies. In these contexts, women are more likely to be described through emotionally loaded or appearance-based adjectives. Crime reporting especially contributes to the frequent portrayal of women as vulnerable victims.

Although some modern journalistic practices show gradual improvement in gender sensitivity, traditional patriarchal representations continue to dominate mainstream newspaper discourse.

10.10. Corpus Linguistics and Critical Discourse Analysis

The study demonstrates the usefulness of combining corpus linguistics with Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA). Corpus tools such as frequency lists, concordance

analysis, and collocation analysis enable researchers to identify systematic linguistic patterns objectively and quantitatively.

At the same time, CDA helps interpret the ideological significance of these patterns. Without discourse interpretation, frequency data alone cannot explain how language contributes to social inequality. The integration of corpus methods and CDA therefore provides a comprehensive framework for examining gender representation in media discourse.

The findings also support Baker's (2006) argument that corpus-assisted discourse analysis helps reveal hidden ideological tendencies that may not be immediately visible through manual reading alone.

10.11. Social and Cultural Implications

The linguistic patterns identified in the study have important social implications. Media discourse plays a powerful role in shaping public attitudes and reinforcing cultural norms. When newspapers repeatedly describe women through vulnerability and appearance while associating men with power and achievement, these representations influence how society perceives gender roles.

Such discourse contributes to the normalization of patriarchal assumptions, where men are viewed as natural leaders and women as emotionally dependent or socially secondary. Repeated exposure to these representations may influence readers' expectations regarding women's participation in politics, leadership, and professional life.

The findings therefore highlight the need for more balanced and gender-sensitive reporting practices in Pakistani media. Journalists and editors should become more aware of how lexical choices contribute to gender stereotyping and social inequality.

10.12. Overall Interpretation

Overall, the study confirms that adjectival usage in Pakistani English newspapers is systematically gendered. The linguistic representation of men and women reflects unequal power relations embedded within society. Women are largely associated with appearance, emotionality, and victimhood, whereas men are represented through authority, professionalism, and achievement.

These findings demonstrate that newspaper discourse is not merely informative but also ideological. Through repeated adjectival patterns, media discourse reproduces patriarchal structures and reinforces traditional gender identities. The study therefore contributes to corpus linguistics, media discourse studies, feminist linguistics, and sociolinguistics by revealing how seemingly simple lexical choices participate in broader systems of social power and inequality.

11. Recommendations

1. Journalists and editors should use more gender-neutral and balanced language in news reporting to avoid reinforcing stereotypes.

2. Media organizations should develop guidelines for fair representation of men and women in newspapers.
3. Newspapers should avoid excessive use of appearance-related and emotionally loaded adjectives for women.
4. Greater emphasis should be placed on women's professional achievements, leadership, and social contributions.
5. Journalism and linguistics departments should include gender-sensitive media discourse analysis in their curricula.
6. Future researchers should conduct larger corpus-based studies using more newspapers and longer time periods.
7. Comparative studies between English and Urdu newspapers, print and digital media, should also be explored.
8. Future research may examine other linguistic features such as verbs, pronouns, metaphors, and visual representation in media discourse.
9. Media professionals should receive training on inclusive and non-discriminatory language practices.
10. Further corpus-based research should be encouraged to promote fair and balanced gender representation in Pakistani media.

12. Implications of the Study

The study has important implications for corpus linguistics, media discourse, gender studies, and sociolinguistics.

12.1. Academic Implications

Academically, the study demonstrates that corpus-based approaches are highly effective for identifying hidden ideological patterns in media language. The integration of corpus linguistics and Critical Discourse Analysis provides a systematic framework for examining gender representation.

The findings contribute to existing research by showing that adjectival usage functions as an important ideological mechanism in Pakistani newspaper discourse.

12.2. Social Implications

Socially, the findings highlight the role of media in shaping public attitudes toward gender. Repeated exposure to stereotypical descriptions may normalize unequal gender roles and influence social perceptions regarding women's capabilities and social positions.

Balanced media representation can contribute positively toward:

- Gender equality
- Social awareness
- Fair representation
- Reduction of stereotypes

The study therefore encourages more responsible language practices in journalism and public discourse.

13. Conclusion

This study explored gender representation in Pakistani English newspapers through a corpus-based comparative analysis of adjectives used for male and female subjects. The primary purpose of the research was to examine how adjectival choices contribute to the construction of gender identities and whether these linguistic patterns reinforce traditional gender stereotypes in media discourse. By analyzing news articles from Pakistani English newspapers through corpus linguistic methods, the study successfully addressed all research questions and achieved its research objectives.

The first research question aimed to identify the adjectives most frequently used for male and female subjects in Pakistani English newspapers. The corpus findings revealed clear differences in the lexical patterns associated with both genders. Male subjects were commonly described through adjectives such as *senior*, *influential*, *strong*, *prominent*, and *powerful*. These adjectives mainly reflected authority, leadership, professionalism, competence, and social status. In contrast, female subjects were frequently associated with adjectives such as *young*, *beautiful*, *helpless*, *innocent*, and *poor*. These adjectives focused largely on appearance, emotionality, vulnerability, and dependency. The frequency analysis demonstrated that descriptive language used for women differed significantly from that used for men, indicating unequal patterns of representation within newspaper discourse.

The second research question investigated how adjectival choices differ in the representation of men and women. The findings showed that male representation was strongly connected with public identity, power, and achievement. Men were linguistically constructed as active social agents who occupied leadership and professional roles within society. Adjectives used for men highlighted strength, experience, influence, and authority, reinforcing the perception of men as decision-makers and socially dominant figures.

On the other hand, female representation was comparatively more restricted and stereotypical. Women were often described through adjectives related to beauty, age, marital status, emotional condition, or victimhood. The semantic categorization of adjectives further revealed that women were primarily associated with appearance-related, emotional, and vulnerable identities, whereas men were associated with professional competence and social authority. The concordance analysis also showed grammatical differences in representation. Female subjects frequently appeared in passive constructions such as “was attacked” or “was rescued,” while male subjects commonly occurred in active structures such as “led,” “announced,” and “decided.” These linguistic patterns reflected unequal representation of agency between men and women.

The third research question examined whether adjectival usage in Pakistani English newspapers reinforces traditional gender stereotypes. The findings strongly confirmed that many adjectival patterns contribute to the reproduction of patriarchal ideologies and stereotypical gender roles. Women were repeatedly represented as weak, emotional, dependent, or vulnerable, while men were portrayed as powerful, rational, authoritative, and successful. Such repeated lexical patterns normalize traditional assumptions regarding masculinity and femininity and reinforce unequal social expectations about gender roles.

The study further demonstrated that media discourse is not ideologically neutral. Through repeated use of particular adjectives, newspapers construct specific social images of men and women. The analysis revealed that adjectival choices function as ideological markers that subtly shape readers' perceptions of gender identities. Even when discrimination is not explicit, repeated lexical patterns contribute to the normalization of unequal gender relations within society.

The corpus-based methodology proved highly effective in identifying systematic linguistic patterns in media discourse. The use of corpus tools such as frequency lists, collocation analysis, and concordance analysis enabled the researcher to examine gender representation objectively and systematically. The study therefore confirms the value of combining corpus linguistics with Critical Discourse Analysis for investigating hidden ideological meanings in language.

The findings of the study are important for the fields of corpus linguistics, sociolinguistics, media discourse analysis, gender studies, and Critical Discourse Analysis. The research contributes to existing literature by specifically focusing on adjectival representation in Pakistani English newspapers, an area that has received limited scholarly attention. It also highlights the influential role of newspapers in shaping public perceptions and reinforcing social ideologies.

In conclusion, the study demonstrates that adjectival usage in Pakistani English newspapers is systematically gendered and reflects broader patriarchal structures within society. Men are generally represented through positive adjectives related to authority, achievement, and professionalism, whereas women are often described through appearance-based, emotional, or victim-oriented adjectives. These linguistic patterns reinforce traditional gender stereotypes and unequal power relations in media discourse.

The study emphasizes the need for more balanced, inclusive, and gender-sensitive reporting practices in Pakistani media. Fairer representation of both men and women can contribute to reducing gender bias and promoting greater social equality in public discourse.

References

- Ahmed, S. (2018). Gender stereotypes in Pakistani print media: A discourse analysis. *Global Media Journal*, 16(30), 1–12.

- Ali, F., & Shah, M. (2022). Linguistic representation of women in Pakistani print media. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, 12(3), 54–67.
- Anthony, L. (2020). *AntConc (Version 3.5.9) [Computer software]*. Waseda University.
- Baker, P. (2006). *Using corpora in discourse analysis*. Continuum.
- Baker, P. (2010). *Sociolinguistics and corpus linguistics*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Baker, P. (2014). *Using corpora to analyze gender*. Bloomsbury Academic.
- Baxter, J. (2010). *The language of female leadership*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Bednarek, M., & Caple, H. (2012). *News discourse*. Continuum.
- Biber, D., Conrad, S., & Reppen, R. (1998). *Corpus linguistics: Investigating language structure and use*. Cambridge University Press.
- Bukhari, S. F. (2019). Media discourse and gender ideology in Pakistan. *Journal of Media and Communication Studies*, 11(4), 101–115.
- Butler, J. (1990). *Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity*. Routledge.
- Caldas-Coulthard, C. R., & Coulthard, M. (1996). *Texts and practices: Readings in critical discourse analysis*. Routledge.
- Cameron, D. (1992). *Feminism and linguistic theory* (2nd ed.). Macmillan.
- Cameron, D. (2007). *The myth of Mars and Venus: Do men and women really speak different languages?* Oxford University Press.
- Chouliaraki, L., & Fairclough, N. (1999). *Discourse in late modernity: Rethinking critical discourse analysis*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Coates, J. (2013). *Women, men and language: A sociolinguistic account of gender differences in language* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Eckert, P., & McConnell-Ginet, S. (2013). *Language and gender* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Fairclough, N. (1995). *Media discourse*. Edward Arnold.
- Fairclough, N. (2003). *Analyzing discourse: Textual analysis for social research*. Routledge.
- Fowler, R. (1991). *Language in the news: Discourse and ideology in the press*. Routledge.
- Gee, J. P. (2011). *An introduction to discourse analysis: Theory and method* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Halliday, M. A. K., & Matthiessen, C. M. I. M. (2014). *Halliday's introduction to functional grammar* (4th ed.). Routledge.
- Holmes, J. (2013). *An introduction to sociolinguistics* (4th ed.). Routledge.
- Johnson, S., & Ensslin, A. (2007). *Language in the media: Representations, identities, ideologies*. Continuum.

- Khan, R., & Safdar, A. (2020). Gendered language in Pakistani English newspapers: A corpus-assisted discourse study. *Journal of Linguistic Studies*, 5(2), 88–104.
- Lakoff, R. (1975). *Language and woman's place*. Harper & Row.
- Lazar, M. M. (2005). *Feminist critical discourse analysis: Gender, power and ideology in discourse*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Litosseliti, L. (2006). *Gender and language: Theory and practice*. Hodder Arnold.
- Machin, D., & Mayr, A. (2012). *How to do critical discourse analysis: A multimodal introduction*. Sage Publications.
- Mautner, G. (2009). Checks and balances: How corpus linguistics can contribute to CDA. In R. Wodak & M. Meyer (Eds.), *Methods of critical discourse analysis* (2nd ed., pp. 122–143). Sage Publications.
- McEnery, T., & Hardie, A. (2012). *Corpus linguistics: Method, theory and practice*. Cambridge University Press.
- Mills, S. (2008). *Language and sexism*. Cambridge University Press.
- Patton, M. Q. (2002). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Rahman, T. (2019). Gender representation in Pakistani media discourse. *Journal of Media Studies*, 34(2), 45–61.
- Richardson, J. E. (2007). *Analysing newspapers: An approach from critical discourse analysis*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Shah, S., & Bhatti, N. (2021). Representation of women in Pakistani English newspapers: A critical discourse analysis. *Pakistan Journal of Gender Studies*, 22(1), 77–95.
- Sinclair, J. (1991). *Corpus, concordance, collocation*. Oxford University Press.
- Stubbs, M. (1996). *Text and corpus analysis: Computer-assisted studies of language and culture*. Blackwell.
- Sunderland, J. (2004). *Gendered discourses*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Talbot, M. (2007). *Media discourse: Representation and interaction*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Toolan, M. (1997). *Language in literature: An introduction to stylistics*. Arnold.
- van Dijk, T. A. (1998). *Ideology: A multidisciplinary approach*. Sage Publications.
- van Leeuwen, T. (2008). *Discourse and practice: New tools for critical discourse analysis*. Oxford University Press.
- Weatherall, A. (2002). *Gender, language and discourse*. Routledge.
- Wodak, R., & Meyer, M. (2009). *Methods of critical discourse analysis* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Yule, G. (2020). *The study of language* (7th ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Zubair, S. (2016). Women representation in Pakistani media discourse. *Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences*, 36(1), 225–238.